



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,292	10/31/2003	Jefferson B. Burch	10031298-1	1408	
7590 12/21/2005			EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EWART, JAMES D		
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2683		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 11 41 41	1000			
٠		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/698,292	BURCH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James D. Ewart	2683			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to e, cause the application to become ABANDO	be timely filed I days will be considered timely. If of the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N	lovember 2005.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	<u>-</u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-13,17-21,23-28,30-36,40-42 ard</u> Claim(s) <u>4,14-16,22,29,37-39 and 43</u> is/are obtain(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. ad 44-46 is/are rejected. ajected to.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
44)[7	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Λ ω ο-Ε	#/a\					
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01-16-2004</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mai				

Art Unit: 2683

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 30 November 2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, the Examiner has found other art, which can be applied in place of Anderson.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-7,9,10, 12, 17-20, 23-28,30,31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Thornton et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0176040) and further in view of Laguer-Diaz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,580,983).

Referring to claims 1 and 25, Thornton et al. teaches a method for measuring performance in a wireless probe measurement system comprising (0006, Figure 4; 416 and 0031): receiving an indicator at said wireless probe to begin taking measurements of one or more variables (0037 & 0038); measuring said one or more variables (0031); but does not teach managing bandwidth by calculating a set of statistical values, at the measurement device, using said measured one or more variables and transmitting said set of statistical values to a central station. Laguer-Diaz et al. teaches managing bandwidth by calculating a set of statistical values,

Art Unit: 2683

at the measurement device, using said measured one or more variables and transmitting said set of statistical values to a central station (Column 8, Lines 39-42). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the art of Thornton et al. with the teaching of Laguer-Diaz et al. of managing bandwidth by calculating a set of statistical values, at the measurement device, using said measured one or more variables and transmitting said set of statistical values to a central station to reduce the amount of data transmitted to a monitoring and diagnostic service center (Column 5, Lines 19-25 & Column 8, Lines 39-42).

Referring to claims 2 and 26, Thornton et al further teaches marking each measurement of said one or more variables with one or more of: a time of said measurement; and a location of said measurement (0006 and 0031). The signal strength is a measurement.

Referring to claims 3 and 28, Thornton et al teaches the limitations of claims 3 and 28, but does not teach comparing said one or more variables to preset alarm conditions; setting an alarm state in response to finding an exceeded one of said preset alarm conditions. Laguer-Diaz et al. teaches comparing said one or more variables to preset alarm conditions (Column 2, Lines 55-56 and Column 8, Lines 18-24); setting an alarm state in response to finding an exceeded one of said preset alarm conditions (Column 2, Lines 55-56). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al with the teaching of Laguer-Diaz et al. of comparing said one or more variables to preset alarm conditions; setting an alarm state in response to finding an exceeded

Art Unit: 2683

one of said preset alarm conditions to provide immediate analysis and possible resolution

(Column 3, Lines 1-3).

Referring to claims 5 and 30, Laguer-Diaz et al further teaches checking for said high

priority items prior to transmitting said set of statistical values; transmitting said high priority

items before said transmitting of said set of statistical values; and transmitting low priority items

when there are no high priority items and when there are none of said set of statistical values to

transmit (Column 7, Line 57 to Column 8, Line 42).

Referring to claims 6 and 27, Thornton et al further teaches wherein said indicator

comprises one or more of: passage of a predetermined time (0042); passage of a predetermined

distance by said wireless probe; and a combination of said passage of said predetermined time

and distance.

Referring to claims 7 and 31, Laguer-Diaz et al. further teaches calculating a set of

statistics using said measured one or more variables (Column 8, Lines 39-42).

Referring to claim 9, Thornton et al further teaches wherein said measured by a plurality

of wireless probes; and one or more variables measured within a single location by a single one

of said plurality of wireless probes (0006 and 0031).

Art Unit: 2683

Referring to claims 10 and 33, Thornton et al further teaches storing said measured one or more variables in a storage device locally accessible by said wireless probe (0039 & 0048).

Referring to claim 12, Thornton et al further teaches discarding said measured one or more variables after said calculating step (0031 and 0040). Only the calculations of BER that fall below a threshold are stored. The measurements are not stored and thus discarded.

Referring to claim 17, Thornton et al teaches a wireless probe for measuring desired phenomena (Figure 4) comprising: a processor (Figure 4; 420); a transducer for capturing measurements (0006 and Figure 4; 416), but does not teach code operable by said processor, for calculating statistical information on said captured measurements; and a communication interface for transmitting said statistical information to a data clearinghouse. Laguer-Diaz et al. teaches code operable by said processor (Column 5, Lines 26-32), for calculating statistical information on said captured measurements (Column 8, Lines 39-42); and a communication interface for transmitting said statistical information to a data clearinghouse (Figure 1, Column 5, Lines 19-25 and Column 8, lines 39-42). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al with the teaching of Laguer-Diaz et al. of code operable by said processor, for calculating statistical information on said captured measurements; and a communication interface for transmitting said statistical information to a data clearinghouse to reduce the amount of data transmitted to a monitoring and diagnostic service center (Column 5, Lines 19-25 & Column 8,

Art Unit: 2683

Lines 39-42). A transducer is a device that converts energy from one form to another i.e.

antenna.

3; 304).

Referring to claim 18, Thornton et al further teaches a clock, wherein each of said captured measurements is stamped with a time of measurement from said clock (0006 and Figure

Referring to claim 19, Thornton et al further teaches a locator device, wherein each of said captured measurements is stamped with a location of measurement from said locator device (0006 and Figure 3; 306).

Referring to claim 20, Laguer-Diaz et al. further teaches wherein said code calculates statistical variables using said captured measurements (Column 8, Line 39-42).

Referring to claim 23, Thornton et al further teaches a storage interface for communicating said captured measurements to a local storage device (0039 and 0048).

Referring to claim 24, Thornton et al further teaches dropping select ones of said captured measurements to reduce a size of said captured measurements prior to storing on said local storage device (0031 and 0040). Only the signal strength measurements that fall below a threshold are stored. The other measurements are not stored and thus discarded.

Art Unit: 2683

3. Claims 8,21 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al and further in view of Anderson (U.S. Patent No. 6,401,054).

Referring to claims 8 and 32, Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al teach the limitations of claims 8 and 32, but do not teach herein said calculating step comprises: calculating a set of intermediate statistical values using one or more variables. Andersen teaches wherein said calculating step comprises: calculating a set of intermediate statistical values using one or more variables (Column 1, Lines 62-66). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al with the teaching of Andersen wherein said calculating step comprises: calculating a set of intermediate statistical values using one or more variables wherein the statistics calculated are intermediate statistics to reduce the amount of data sent to the central monitoring station (Column 2, Lines 4-6). Intermediate statistics is the study of statistics which occurs before advanced statistics and includes the mean, standard deviation... Anderson discusses using the mean and standard deviation.

Referring to claim 21, Laguer-Diaz et al further teaches said code calculates statistical values using said captured measurements (Column 1, Lines 65-66), wherein said statistical values are used by said data clearinghouse to analyze said desired phenomena (Column 2, Lines 8-10). Referring to claim 21, Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al teach the limitations of claim 21 but do not teach wherein the statistics calculated are intermediate statistics. Anderson

Art Unit: 2683

teaches wherein the statistics calculated are intermediate statistics (Column 1, Lines 62-66). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al. with the teaching of Anderson wherein the statistics calculated are intermediate statistics to reduce the amount of data sent to the central monitoring station (Column 2, Lines 4-6) Intermediate statistics is the study of statistics which occurs before advanced statistics and includes the mean, standard deviation.... Anderson discusses using the mean and standard deviation.

4. Claims 11 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al and further in view of Counselman, III (U.S. Patent No. 5,805,200).

Referring to claims 11 and 34, Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al teach the limitations of claims 11 and 34 including measuring one or more variables, but do not teach decimating the values to reduce the size of the values prior to said storing. Counselman, III teaches decimating the values to reduce the size of the values prior to said storing (Column 18, Lines 51-54). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al with the teaching of Counselman, III of decimating the values to reduce the size of the values prior to said storing to conserve memory space (Column 18, Line54).

Art Unit: 2683

Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al and further in view of Nilsen et al. (U.S. Patent No.

Claims 13, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by

5,987,306).

5.

Referring to claims 13 and 35, Thornton et al. further teaches defining an area (0024)

over which said wireless probe measures for said desired phenomena wherein said area is

divided into a plurality of bins (0008), but does not teach overlaying a grid over said area.

Nilsen et al. teaches overlaying a grid over said area (Figures 5B and 5C). Therefore at the time

the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

combine the teaching of Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al with the teaching of Nilsen et al.

of overlaying a grid over said area to provide a presentation of test results (Column 2, Lines 54-

56).

Nilsen et al. further teaches calculating said statistical data for each of said plurality of

bins (Column 1, Line 66 to Column 2, Line 2 and Figures 5B and C).

6. Claims 40, 41, 42, 44, 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable by Thornton et al. in view of Laguer-Diaz et al and further in view of Nilsen et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 5,987,306).

Referring to claim 40, Thornton et al. teaches a method for analyzing desired phenomena

in a defined area using a plurality of wireless probes (0006, 0031 and Figure 4), said method

Art Unit: 2683

comprising: taking raw measurements related to said desired phenomena across said defined area (0008 and 0024); determining a location of each of said raw measurements (0006); assigning each of said raw measurements to one location (0006) but does not teach calculating statistical data at measurement device using said raw measurements; and communicating said statistical data to a central analysis center. Laguer-Diaz et al teaches calculating statistical data at said measurement device using said raw measurements; and communicating said statistical data to a central analysis center (Column 8, Lines 39-42). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the art of Thornton et al with the teaching of Laguer-Diaz et al of calculating statistical data at said measurement device using said raw measurements; and communicating said statistical data to a central analysis center to reduce the amount of data transmitted to a monitoring and diagnostic service center (Column 5, Lines 19-25 & Column 8, Lines 39-42). Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al teach the limitations of claim 40, but do not teach dividing said defined area into a grid having a plurality of grid sections. Nilsen et al. teaches dividing said defined area into a grid having a plurality of grid sections (Figures 5B and 5C). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al with the teaching of Nilsen et al. of dividing said defined area into a grid having a plurality of grid sections to provide a presentation of test results (Column 2, Lines 54-56). Examine equates antenna with wireless probe.

Art Unit: 2683

Referring to claim 41, Thornton et al. further teaches marking each of said raw measurements with a measurement time (0006); and marking each of said raw measurements with a measurement location (0006, 0031 and 0042).

Referring to claim 42, Thornton et al. further teaches wherein said taking said raw measurements is responsive to one or more of: a predetermined distance traveled by said wireless probe; a predetermined time period elapsed (0042); and a predetermined distance traveled when a predetermined period of time has also elapsed.

Referring to claim 45, Thornton et al. further teaches storing said raw measurements and said statistical data in a memory local to said plurality of wireless probes (0039 & 0048).

Referring to claim 46, Thornton et al. further teaches deleting selected ones of said raw measurements prior to said storing (0040). Only the signal strength measurements that fall below a threshold are stored. The other measurements are not stored and thus discarded.

7. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Thornton et al., Laguer-Diaz et al and Nilsen et al. and further in view of Anderson.

Referring to claim 44, Thornton et al., Laguer-Diaz et al and Nilsen et al. teach the limitations of claim 44, but do not teach calculating intermediate statistical values using said raw measurements. Andersen teaches calculating intermediate statistical values using said raw

Art Unit: 2683

measurements (Column 1, Lines 62-66). Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Thornton et al. and Laguer-Diaz et al with the teaching of Andersen of calculating intermediate statistical values using said raw measurements to reduce the amount of data sent to the central monitoring station (Column 2, Lines 4-6). Intermediate statistics is the study of statistics which occurs before advanced statistics and includes the mean, standard deviation.... Anderson discusses using the mean and standard deviation.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 4, 14-16, 22, 29, 37-39 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Referring to claim 4, the references sited do not teach assigning a medium priority level to said set of statistical values; assigning a low priority to said measurements; and assigning a high priority to said alarm state.

Referring to claim 14, the references sited do not teach defining an region over which said wireless probe measures said one or more variables; and dynamically generating a statistical bin around said wireless probe, wherein an area of said statistical bin is defined by a relationship between said measured one or more variables. The references sited teach point measurements whereas the applicant teaches measuring at different locations with a defined area.

Art Unit: 2683

Referring to claim 22, the references sited do not teach wherein said captured measurements are captured over a plurality of preset locations; wherein said code calculates separate intermediate statistical values for separate areas within one or more of said plurality of preset locations using said captured measurements; and wherein an aggregate statistical value is calculated for said one or more of said plurality of preset locations using said separate intermediate statistical values.

Referring to claim 29, the references sited do not teach assigning a medium priority level to said statistical data; assigning a low priority to said one or more variables; and assigning a high priority to said alarm message. The references sited teach point measurements whereas the applicant teaches measuring at different locations with a defined area.

Referring to claim 37, the references sited do not teach defining an area over which said wireless probe measures for said desired phenomena; and dynamically creating a statistical bin around said wireless probe, wherein an area of said statistical bin is defined by a correlation between said measured one or more variables related to said desired phenomena.

Referring to claim 43, the references sited do not teach dividing said plurality of grid sections into further segments by one of said plurality of wireless probes; compiling said statistical data by said one of said plurality of wireless probes according to said further segments; and aggregating said compiled statistical data corresponding to said further segments at said one of said plurality of wireless probes prior to said transmitting.

Art Unit: 2683

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Hendrickson et al U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0069037 discloses system and

method for measuring wireless device and network usage and performance metrics.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James D. Ewart whose telephone number is (571) 272-7864. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

William Trost can be reached on (571)272-7872. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications

and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Evvott

Décember 12, 2005

WILLIAM TROST

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600